# Intro to NLP 2022: Assignment 1

In this assignment, we work with a dataset that contains sentences from news articles. It has been collected for a shared task at SemEval 2018 for *Complex Word Identification*.

**Task Description:** <https://sites.google.com/view/cwisharedtask2018/>

**Code for the assignment:** ​​*intro2nlp\_assignment1\_code.zip*

You submit a **pdf** of this document, the format should not be changed.

All floating point numbers should be rounded to **two decimals**.

Your analyses should be conducted using **python 3.8**.   
You submit a **zip**-file containing all your code.

You are allowed to use Python packages (e.g. pandas, sklearn).

Each team member needs to be able to explain the details of the submission. By default, all team members will receive the same grade. If this seems unjust to you, provide an extra statement indicating the workload of each team member.

**Total points**: 20

**Structure:**

* Part A: Linguistic analysis of the dataset using spacy, 6 points
* Part B: Understanding the task of complex word identification, 7 points
* Part C: Modeling the task with an LSTM, 7 points
* Bonus tasks: options for obtaining a grade >8

Fill in your details below:

**Group number:**

**Student 1**

**Name:**

**Student id:**

**Student 2**

**Name:**

**Student id:**

**Student 3**

**Name: Junot Hardy**

**Student id: 2616437**

## PART A: Linguistic analysis using spaCy

In the first part of the assignment, we focus on an analysis of the sentences in the training data.

**File:** *data/preprocessed/train/sentences.txt*

Implement your analyses in *TODO\_analyses.py.*

Note that we are using the most recent spaCy version (3.2) and the model *en\_core\_web\_sm*. Results might vary for other versions. If you cannot use 3.2, clearly explain this to your TA and specify on your submission which version you are using instead.

1. **Tokenization** (1 point)  
   Process the dataset using the spaCy package and extract the following information:

Number of tokens: 16,130

Number of types: 13,895

Number of words: 3,722

Average number of words per sentence: 11.31

Average word length: 6.54

Provide the definition that you used to determine words:

Words are tokens which are not interpunction (?)

1. **Word Classes** (1.5 points)

Run the default part-of-speech tagger on the dataset and identify the ten most frequent POS tags. Complete the table below for these ten tags (the tagger in the model *en\_core\_web\_sm* is trained on the PENN Treebank tagset).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Finegrained POS-tag | Universal POS-Tag | Occurrences | Relative Tag Frequency (%) | 3 most frequent tokens with this tag | Example for an infrequent token with this tag |
| NN | Noun, singular or mass | 2099 (I got 2271, look at implementation) | 0.14 | \\, year, report | deterioration |
| NNP | Noun, proper singular | 1848 | 0.11 | \\, US, U.S. | # |
| IN | Conjunciton, subordinating or preposition | 1743 | 0.11 | of, in, to | About |
| DT | Determiner | 1377 | 0.09 | the, a, The | An |
| JJ | Adjective | 872 | 0.05 | other, Russian, presidential | 21st |
| NNS | Noun, plural | 793 | 0.05 | ants, troops, people | 1970s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | Punctuation mark, comma | 698 | 0.04 | ‘,’ , ‘;’ (only two tokens in this group) | ‘;’ |
| VBD | Verb, past tense | 665 | 0.04 | was, were, said | advocated |
| . | Punctuation mark, sentence closer | 654 | 0.04 | ‘.’, ‘?’, ‘!’ (only three tokens in this group) | ‘!’ |
| \_SP | Whitespace | 653 | 0.4 | \n | \n (only token in this group) |

1. **N-Grams** (1.5 points)  
   Calculate the distribution of n-grams and provide the 3 most frequent

Token bigrams: **‘.\n’, '\\”, ‘\nThe’**

Token trigrams: **'.\nThe', ', \\"', '\\"\n'**

POS bigrams:

POS trigrams:

1. **Lemmatization** (1 point)

Provide an example for a lemma that occurs in more than two inflections in the dataset.

Lemma:

Inflected Forms:

Example sentences for each form:

1. **Named Entity Recognition** (1 point)

Number of named entities:  
Number of different entity labels:

Analyze the named entities in the first five sentences. Are they identified correctly? If not, explain your answer and propose a better decision.

## PART B: Understanding the task of complex word identification

1. **Explore the dataset** (1.5 points)  
   Read the documentation (<https://sites.google.com/view/cwisharedtask2018/datasets>) of the dataset and provide an answer to the following questions:

a) What do the start and offset values refer to? Provide an example.

b) What does it mean if a target word has a probabilistic label of 0.4?

c) The dataset was annotated by native and non-native speakers. How do the binary and the probabilistic complexity label account for this distinction?

1. **Extract basic statistics** (0.5 point)

Let’s have a closer look at the labels for this task.   
 Use the file *data/original/english/WikiNews\_Train.tsv* and extract the following columns:

Target word, binary label, probabilistic label

Provide the following information:

Number of instances labeled with 0:

Number of instances labeled with 1:

Min, max, median, mean, and stdev of the probabilistic label:

Number of instances consisting of more than one token:

Maximum number of tokens for an instance:

1. **Explore linguistic characteristics** (2 points)  
   For simplicity, we will focus on the instances which consist only of a single token and have been labeled as complex by at least one annotator.   
   Calculate the length of the tokens as the number of characters.

Calculate the frequency of the tokens using the wordfreq package (<https://pypi.org/project/wordfreq/>).

Provide the Pearson correlation of length and frequency with the probabilistic complexity label:

Pearson correlation length and complexity:

Pearson correlation frequency and complexity:

Provide 3 scatter plots with the probabilistic complexity on the y-axis.

X-axis: 1) Length 2) Frequency 3) POS tag

Set the ranges of the x and y axes meaningfully.

Plot 1:

Plot 2:

Plot 3:

Interpret the results in 3-5 sentences:

1. **Reflection (1 Point)**

Can you think of another linguistic characteristic that might have an influence on the perceived complexity of a word? Propose at least one and explain your choice in 2-4 sentences.

1. **Baselines** (2 Points)

Implement four baselines for the task in *TODO\_baselines.py*.

Majority baseline: always assigns the majority class

Random baseline: randomly assigns one of the classes

Length baseline: determines the class based on a length threshold

Frequency baseline: determines the class based on a frequency threshold

Test different thresholds and choose the one which yields the highest accuracy on the dev\_data:

Length threshold:

Frequency threshold:

Fill in the table below (round to two decimals!):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Baseline | Accuracy on dev | Accuracy on test |
| Majority |  |  |
| Random |  |  |
| Length |  |  |
| Frequency |  |  |

Interpret the results in 2-3 sentences.

Store the predictions in a way that allows you to calculate precision, recall, and F-measure and fill the table in exercise 12.

## PART C: Modeling the task

For part C, we use an implementation for a vanilla LSTM which was originally developed for a named entity recognition project for a Stanford course. You can find more documentation here: <https://github.com/cs230-stanford/cs230-code-examples/tree/master/pytorch/nlp>

1. **Understanding the code** (1.5 Points)  
   Familiarize yourself with our version of the code and try to understand what is going on.

Answer in your own words (1-3 sentences per question)

Run the file *build\_vocab.py*. What does this script do?

Inspect the file *model/net.py.* Which layers are being used and what is their function?

How could you change the loss function of the model?

1. **Detailed evaluation** (2.5 points)

Train the model on the data in *preprocessed/train* and *preprocessed/dev* by running the code in *train.py*.

Evaluate the model on the data in *preprocessed/test* by running *evaluate.py*.

The original code only outputs the accuracy and the loss of the model. I adapted the code, so that it writes the predictions to *experiments/base\_model/model\_output.tsv.*

Implement calculations for precision, recall, and F1 for each class in *TODO\_detailed\_evaluation.py*. You can use existing functions but make sure that you understand how they work.

Provide the results for the baselines and the LSTM in the table below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Class N | | | Class C | | | Weighted Average |
|  | Precision | Recall | F1 | Precision | Recall | F1 | F1 |
| Random |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Majority |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LSTM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. **Interpretation** (1.5 Points)

Compare the performance to the results in the shared task (<https://aclanthology.org/W18-0507.pdf>) and interpret the results in 3-5 sentences. Don’t forget to check the number of instances in the training and test data and integrate this into your reflection.

1. **Experiments** (2 points)  
   Vary a hyperparameter of your choice and plot the F1-results (weighted average) for at least 5 different values. Examples for hyperparameters are embedding size, learning rate, number of epochs, random seed,

Hyperparameter:

Plot:

Interpret the result (2-4 sentences):

Provide 3 examples for which the label changes when the hyperparameter changes:

1. Example 1, Label at Value 1, Label at Value 2
2. Example 2, Label at Value 1, Label at Value 2
3. Example 3, Label at Value 1, Label at Value 2

## Bonus Tasks

The maximum grade you can get for the assignment is an 8. If you want to obtain a better grade, you need to individually send results for one of the bonus tasks to intro2nlp@googlegroups.com. If the group project grade is less than an 8, we do not check the bonus task submission. If the group project grade is an 8 and you submitted an answer for a bonus task, you might still only receive an 8, if the quality of the bonus task submission is not sufficient.

Task options:

* Provide answers for exercises 8 and 12-14 for at least one of the other languages of the CWI task.
* Improve the model by making a substantial change. Varying a hyperparameter or simply adding another layer **is not** a substantial change. Motivate your modification and interpret the findings.
* Identifying complex words is only the first step for lexical simplification. Read up on related work and explain potential architectures for contextualized lexical simplification in detail.